Unpredictability of initial points

Kenshi Miyabe(宮部賢志) JSPS Research fellow, University of Tokyo

RIMS Workshop: Dynamical Systems and Computation 15 Jan 2014 RIMS

Introduction

Kenshi Miyabe

- algorithmic randomness
 = computability theory + information theory + etc.
- computable analysis= the study of computability of real functions.
- Study the notion of probability via computability theory. (Probability in statistics, learning theory, randomized algorithm and dynamical systems.)

Chaos theory studies the behavior of dynamical systems that are highly sensitive to initial conditions. *snip* In other words, the deterministic nature of these systems does not make them predictable.
"Wikipedia: Chaos theory"

The orbit is "unpredictable" when the initial point is "unpredictable" or "random".

Theorem (Birkhoff 1932)

Let (X, μ) be a measure space, $T : X \to X$ be a measurepreserving transformation and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function. The value

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k(x))$$

converges for all x outside a null set. Furthermore, if T is ergodic, the limit is equal to

 $\int f \ d\mu.$

In other words, "time average" is equal to "space average".

Theorem (Bienvenu-Day-Hoyrup-Mezhirov-Shen 2012, Franklin-Greenberg-Miller-Ng 2012) Let (X, μ) be a computable probability space, $T : X \to X$ be a computable measure-preserving ergodic transformation. Then the following are equivalent for $x \in X$:

- 1. x is Martin-Löf random.
- 2. We have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k(x)) = \int f \, d\mu$$

for every integrable lower semicomputable function f: $X \to [0, \infty].$

Computability theory and algorithmic randomness

What is random?

Typicalness (Martin-Löf 1966)

Unpredictability (von Mises 1919, Ville 1939, Schnorr 1971)

 Incompressibility (Kolmogorov, Levin-Schnorr 1973)

Unpredictability

The initial capital is 1 yen.

One predicts the next bit given a finite binary sequence.

- One bets his money to 0 or 1; the money doubles if correct and one loses the money if wrong.
- If the capital is bounded, we say the sequence is unpredictable.

Martingale

Definition

A martingale is a function $d: 2^{<\omega} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}^+$ such that

$$d(\sigma) = \frac{d(\sigma 0) + d(\sigma 1)}{2}$$

Theorem (Schnorr 1971)

A sequence A is ML-random if and only if $d(A \upharpoonright n) < O(1)$ for every c.e. martingale d. Roughly speaking, non-ML-random sequences are the ones one can find some regularity infinitely often.

Addition, deletion or change of finite bits preserve MLrandomness.

The set of all ML-random sequences has measure 1.

Every ML-random sequence satisfies with SLLN.

Kučera's theorem

Theorem (Kučera 1985) The following are equivalent for $x \in 2^{\omega}$:

1. x is not Martin-Löf random

2. All tails of x in a c.e. open set U with $\mu(U) < 1$.

Remark

This can be seen as an effectivization of Poincaré reccurrence theorem.





On Cantor space 2^{ω} , for $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^*$,

$$[\sigma] = \{X : \sigma \prec X\}$$

forms a base. Thus, every open set G can be written as a union of these base sets:

$$G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [\sigma_n].$$

If $n \mapsto \sigma_n$ is computable, we say that G is a c.e. open set.



Computability of real functions

A sequence $\{r_n\}$ of rationals is called a fast Cauchy sequence if

$$r_n - r_{n-1} \le 2^{-n}$$

for every n. Every fast Cauchy sequence $\{r_n\}$ represents the real $x = \lim_n r_n$.

A function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called computable if there is a Turing machine M such that, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, M maps from every representation of x to a representation of f(x).



 $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is called left-c.e. or lower semicomputable if there is a computable non-decreasing sequence $\{r_n\}$ such that

 $x = \lim_{n} r_n.$

The sequence $\{r_n\}$ is called lower representation of x.

A function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called lower semicomputable if there is a Turing machine M such that, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, M maps from every representation of x to a lower representation of f(x).



Theorem

The following are equivalent for $x \in [0, 1]$:

- (i) x is ML-random.
- (ii) $f(x) < \infty$ for every integrable lower semicomputable function f.

Theorem (Bienvenu-Day-Hoyrup-Mezhirov-Shen 2012, Franklin-Greenberg-Miller-Ng 2012) Let (X, μ) be a computable probability space, $T : X \to X$ be a computable measure-preserving ergodic transformation. Then the following are equivalent for $x \in X$:

1. x is Martin-Löf random.

2. We have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k(x)) = \int f \, d\mu$$

for every integrable lower semicomputable function f: $X \to [0, \infty].$

Summary of former half

The orbit is unpredictable because the initial point is unpredictable.

Computable initial points and orbits

Random initial points?

One can calculate space average by computing time average with a "random" initial point.

- "One can take a rational numver (or an algebraic number) randomly. It will be correct almost surely." This is wrong.
- Can we take a computable initial point with a desired property?

Problem

Let (X, μ) be a computable probability space, $T : X \to X$ be a computable measure-preserving ergodic transformation. Assume an integrable lower semicomputable function f: $X \to [0, \infty]$ is given. Does there exist a computable point xsuch that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k(x)) = \int f d\mu$$

Can we compute such an x uniformly in f? Can we compute the rate of the convergence?

Theorem (Towsner)

Let (X, μ) be a computable probability space, $T : X \to X$ be a computable measure-preserving ergodic transformation. Suppose that f be $L^1(\mu)$ -computable function with $f \in L^2(\mu)$. Let

$$\overline{f}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(T^k(x)).$$

Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $||\overline{f}||_2$ is computable.

(ii) The pointwise rate of convergence of f is computable. (iii) \overline{f} is L^1 -computable.

Corollary If $||\overline{f}||_2$ is computable, there exists a computable point x such that $\overline{f}(x) = \int f d\mu$.

The complement of a c.e. open set is called a **co-c.e. closed** set.

Proposition

- (i) There exists a co-c.e. closed set that does not contain a computable point.
- (ii) Every co-c.e. closed set with a computable measure contains a computable point.



Schnorr randomness

Theorem (Bienvenu-Miller 2012) The following are equivalent for $x \in 2^{\omega}$:

1. x is not Schnorr random

2. All tails of x in a c.e. open set U with a computable measure strictly less than 1.

For every such U, the set of all sequences whose all tails in U is a null set. If a set is contained in the null set, such set is called Schnorr null set.

L^1-computability

Definition (Pour-El-Richard 1989)

A function $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is L^1 -computable if there exists a computable sequence of rational polygons $\{p_n\}$ such that

$$||f - p_n||_1 \le 2^{-n}$$

where $||g||_1 = \int |g| d\mu$.

Definition (Pathak-Simpson-Rojas 2014) Let $\hat{f}(x) = \lim_{n} p_n(x)$. The value does not depend on $\{p_n\}$ for every Schnorr random point x.

L^1-computability

Observation

Let f, g be effective L^1 -computable functions with $||f - g||_1 = 0$. Then, the set

$$\{x : f \neq g\}$$

is Schnorr null. Thus, there exists a computable point x such that f(x) = g(x).

Convergence speed

Note that L^1 -computability means that the convergence speed is computable in L^1 -norm.

Question

Can we compute the convergence speed when given a Schnorr point?

Answer

It depends on the randomness deficiency.

Randomness deficiency

- \Rightarrow may not converge.
- (iii) 10101001001000011101010101 is Schnorr random with low randomness deficientcy \Rightarrow The convergence is fast.

Summary

- For a well-behaved function, one can find a computable point with the desired property.
- Convergence rate depends on the randomness deficiency.

Related work

- The values of entropies of subshifts of finite type over Z^d (d is larger than 1) are exactly right-c.e. reals. (Hochman and Meyerovitch 2010)
- The complexity of the orbits of random points equals to the Kolmogorov-Sinaï entropy. (An effectivization of Brudno's theorem.) The supremum of the complexity of orbits equals the topological entropy. (Galatola-Hoyrup-Rojas 2010)

Future work

How about polynomial-time computable points?